



AUTONOME

SÜDTIROL

PROVINZ

BOZEN

EUROPEAN UNION

Energy sector coupling: electric-thermal interaction through heat pumps

eurac Tuesday 23th of October 2018 research – Institute for Renewable Energy NOI Tech Park, via A. Volta 13/A, Bolzano



PROVINCIA

AUTONOMA

DI BOLZANO

ALTO ADIGE

This workshop has received funding from the EFRE- FESR 2014- 2020 programme- project "INTEGRIDS" n. 1042



eurac research

INTEGRIDS and FLEXYNETS projects

DHC networks, heat pump pools, and DSM

Marco Cozzini Eurac Research – Institute for Renewable Energy

Workshop on "Energy sector coupling: electric-thermal interaction through heat pumps" Bozen October 23rd, 2018



District Heating and Cooling with Heat Pumps

Traditional

FLEXYNETS



http://www.flexynets.eu



Laboratory – Energy Exchange lab





The FLEXYNETS approach

From the water-loop concept to a decentralised low-temperature DHC network



Disadvantages:

- Electricity costs (HPs and pumping)
- Heat pump investment costs



Advantages:

- Reduction of thermal losses or pipe costs
- Reversibility (heating AND cooling)
- Direct integration of low temperature waste heat
- Coupling with electric grid

eurac research



Electricity CO2 emissions

Emission factors for Electricity consumptions (JRC, standard approach)



Natural gas: 250 gCO2/kWh



Potential study on DSM in DHC networks with decentralized HPs

Buffa S., Cozzini M., Henze G. P., Dipasquale C., Baratieri M., Fedrizzi R.

EURAC University of Boulder Free University of Bolzano

ISEC 2018, Graz



DSM in DHC networks with HPs

Time-of-use pricing (as opposed to real-time pricing)



Possible DSM strategy:

- Exploit **DHW tank** for thermal flexibility
- Control HP for DHW production according to pricing

DHW demand always present (no seasonality) DHW tank always present (with HPs)

Building model

TRNSYS model



efre-fesr sidtirol · Alto Adige Europäischer Fonds für regionale Entwicklung Fondo europeo di sviluppo regionale European UNION

Building type: multi-family house (s-MFH) of 10 apartment

Space heating (SH) net demand: ~ 45 kWh/(m² year)

Domestic Hot Water (DHW) demand: ~ 25 kWh/(m² year)

Heated surface: 50 m² per apartment

Occupancy level: 2 people/apartment

Water-source heat pump (WSHP) capacity: ~ 25 kWth

DHW TES capacity: 450 litres

DHC energy source: ideal thermal source suppling heat at the desired temperature set-point



Price vs efficiency

Overall cost = amount of electricity \times electricity price

(+ minor effects...)

Competing effects:

- Exploit lower pricing, thanks to thermal storage
- Suffer higher electricity consumption, due to higher temperature and lower efficiency







Results: energy assessment

Reference vs scenarios based on time-of-use pricing DSM (yearly simulations):

- TOU1: constant network temperature (10 °C)
- TOU2: optimized network temperature (10-20 °C)



■ Ref. ■ TOU1 ■ TOU2



Results: economic assessment

	Unit	Scenario TOU1A Tdhc const. (10°C)	Scenario TOU1B Tdhc const. (10°C)	Scenario TOU2A Tdhc var. (10÷20°C)	Scenario TOU2B Tdhc var. (10÷20°C)
Cel off-peak /Cel peak	[-]	0.87	0.77	0.87	0.77
Eel prices off-peak hours	[EUR/kWh]	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Eel prices peak hours	[EUR/kWh]	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.20





Conclusions and future work

- Little but non-negligible margin of load shifting with TOU pricing
- Higher impact expected with real-time-pricing
- Flexibility comes at the price of slightly higher consumptions
- Tank temperature variation limits to be investigated
- Optimization to be performed
- Analysis for a single substation: pool effect to be investigated
- DSM based on electricity real-time emission factors rather than pricing

... and much more...



Thank you for the attention!



Marco Cozzini

Senior Researcher SHCS group

e-mail: marco.cozzini@eurac.edu

Institute for Renewable Energy **T.** +39 0471 055 675

Via A. Volta 13/A 39100 Bolzano/Bozen



Electricity CO2 emissions





Electricity CO2 emissions

